

/ / ()
 / / , ,
 / /

*

:

(-)

:

mm

Paired t-test

/ ± / mm

/ ± / mm

:

:

:

() %

(-)

/ / / /
 / - / /

()

()

()

()

[RT 2800/ GE]

5.5 mHTZ

paired t-test

(PG) ()

/ $\pm$ // $\pm$ // $\pm$ // $\pm$ // $\pm$ // $\pm$ // $\pm$ /**REFERENCES**

1. Cuninghum FG, Leveno KJ, Steven L. William's Obstetrics. McGraw Hill: New York. 2005; PP: 860-2.
2. O'comell MP, Lindow SWL. Reversal of asymptomatic cervical length shortening with cervical cerclage : a preliminary study . Hum Reprod 2001; 16(1): 172-3.
3. Guzman ER, Houlinhan C, Vintzileos A, Ivan J, Benito C, Kappy K. The significance of transvaginal ultrasonographic evaluation of the cervix in women treated with emergency cerclage Am J Obstet Gynecol 1996; 175(2): 471 -6.
4. Funai EF, Paidas MJ, Rebarber A, O'Neill L, Rosen TJ, Young BK. Change in cervical length after prophylactic cerclage. Obstet Gynecol 1999; 94(1): 117-9.
5. Dijkstra K, Funai EF, O'Neill L, Rebarber A, Paidas MJ, Young BK. Change in cervical length after cerclage as a predictor of preterm delivary . Obstet Gyn 2000; 96(3): 346-50.
6. MRC/RCOG Working Party on Cervical Cerclage; Machnaughton MC, Chalmers IG, Dubowitz V, Dunn PM, Grant AM, McPherson K, et al. Final report of the medical Research council / Royal college of obstetricians & Gynecologist multicentre randomised trial of cervical cerclage . Br J Obstet Gynecol 1993; 100: 515-23.
7. Anderson FH, Karimi A, Sakala EP. Prediction of cervical cerclage outcome by endovaginal ultrasonography. Am J obstet Gynecol 1994; 171: 1102-6.